This review article is concerned with the mathematical modelling of the mechanical properties of the soft biological tissues that constitute the walls of arteries. Many important aspects of the mechanical behaviour of arterial tissue can be treated on the basis of elasticity theory, and the focus of the article is therefore on the constitutive modelling of the anisotropic and highly nonlinear elastic properties of the artery wall. The discussion focuses primarily on developments over the last decade based on the theory of deformation invariants, in particular invariants that in part capture structural aspects of the tissue, specifically the orientation of collagen fibres, the dispersion in the orientation, and the associated anisotropy of the material properties. The main features of the relevant theory are summarized briefly and particular forms of the elastic strain-energy function are discussed and then applied to an artery considered as a thick-walled circular cylindrical tube in order to illustrate its extension–inflation behaviour. The wide range of applications of the constitutive modelling framework to artery walls in both health and disease and to the other fibrous soft tissues is discussed in detail. Since the main modelling effort in the literature has been on the passive response of arteries, this is also the concern of the major part of this article. A section is nevertheless devoted to reviewing the limited literature within the continuum mechanics framework on the active response of artery walls, i.e. the mechanical behaviour associated with the activation of smooth muscle, a very important but also very challenging topic that requires substantial further development. A final section provides a brief summary of the current state of arterial wall mechanical modelling and points to key areas that need further modelling effort in order to improve understanding of the biomechanics and mechanobiology of arteries and other soft tissues, from the molecular, to the cellular, tissue and organ levels.
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1. Introduction

The mechanical behaviour of soft biological tissues has received an increasing amount of attention in the literature in the last few years, and this is particularly the case for arterial wall tissue, although the mechanics of other tissues are also widely studied. The purpose of the present review article is to highlight and summarize recent developments in the biomechanics and mechanobiology of arterial walls, with particular reference to modelling the elastic properties of the tissue based on an approach that focuses on invariants associated with the directions of collagen fibres and their dispersion. Both the passive and the active behaviour of the tissue are considered. In so doing, we have attempted to refer to the contributions of the most recent papers, essentially from the last 10 years, although inevitably there will be important contributions that we have overlooked, for which we apologize.

The content of this review is summarized as follows. In §2, we provide an overview of the kinematical background relevant to the development of constitutive laws for fibre-reinforced materials with particular reference to the invariant formulation of constitutive laws for materials with one or two families of fibres. Particular note is made of the equations governing planar biaxial deformations since biaxial tests are commonly used protocols in arterial mechanics that provide important information about the material properties. However, it is emphasized that biaxial tests alone are not sufficient to fully characterize the material properties of the anisotropic soft tissues, as fully discussed by Holzapfel & Ogden (2009c), and that lack of sufficient data means that some prior assumptions about the form of the constitutive law are necessary to make progress in material characterization. With this in mind, some specific forms of strain-energy function are discussed, some merits of the particular constitutive structure are emphasized and conditions for consistency with the linear theory of transversely isotropic elasticity are noted.

Combined extension and inflation of a segment of an artery (a circular cylindrical tube) provides data that are equivalent to data obtained from planar biaxial tests. The theoretical basis for evaluating such tests is summarized in a concise form and some recently obtained representative data from the Holzapfel laboratory are illustrated. Specifically, experimental data from a human internal carotid artery are shown with the emphasis on the dependence of pressure on circumferential stretch (equivalent to the internal radius) of the artery initially pre-stretched axially by various amounts in the absence of pressure. This is both for an intact artery and for its separate media–intima composite and adventitia layers and highlights the significant differences in the mechanical properties of the different layers of the artery wall.

Section 3 provides an overview of the different applications of models based on the invariant structure of constitutive laws that were developed primarily for artery walls. The main applications are to arteries, of course, but the range of applications is quite extensive. It includes modelling both healthy and diseased arteries, accounting for viscoelastic effects, as appropriate for muscular arteries, and the simulation of clinical procedures such as balloon angioplasty. Angioplasty involves the description of three-dimensional fluid–structure interaction, inelastic/plastic effects, plaque fissuring and dissection that

occur during the intervention, and balloon–stent–artery interaction with the focus on different stent designs. Further applications deal with the development of cerebral and abdominal aortic aneurysms by considering fluid–structure interactions and an intraluminal thrombus, with criteria for arterial failure and the modelling and analysis of growth and remodelling, a key area nowadays that requires both analytical and numerical (finite element) approaches. The considered constitutive framework has also been used for modelling a wide range of other soft tissues and we provide a short summary of these applications, which include veins, intestine, the cornea, the annulus fibrosus and heart tissue, *inter alia*. In the final part of §3, we also review the usage of the considered constitutive framework in the analysis of some general aspects of soft-tissue elasticity, and note that it is even used in the modelling of textile composites.

In §4 the modelling of collagenous tissues based on preferred directions of the fibres is extended to allow for dispersion of the fibre orientations, which has a significant effect on the mechanical response of the tissue. Some novel aspects relating to a dispersion parameter used in the theory are described. In particular, at the upper limit of its allowable range of values it yields a planar isotropic distribution of fibres normal to the preferred fibre direction, and the consequences of this are discussed in some detail. In a representative example, we examine the inflation of a fibre-reinforced thin-walled circular cylindrical tube. For dispersion parameters that lie within the upper range of values the resulting pressure versus circumferential stretch response is non-monotonic and increasing radius can be associated with negative pressure. It is therefore concluded that such values of the dispersion parameter are inappropriate for the modelling of soft tissues. The relevant theory is provided for both two- and three-dimensional fibre orientation distributions and applications for which the theory has been used are discussed. These include modelling of the coronary artery (bypass graft surgery, implantation of drug-eluting stents), carotid bifurcation (effect of changes in lipid pool and calcification on wall stresses and on vulnerability), abdominal aorta (aneurysm), adaptive artery growth, mitral and aortic valves and the cornea.

In §§2–4 the focus is on the passive mechanical response of arterial tissues, which has dominated the literature. Modelling of the active response associated with smooth muscle activation has received relatively little attention but its importance cannot be underestimated. For example, smooth muscle cells control changes in arteries such as the lumen diameter and the extracellular matrix turnover. We therefore provide, in §5, pointers to the background on smooth muscle activation and a short discussion of the limited modelling that has been conducted within the continuum mechanics context. This includes purely phenomenological approaches and more recent work that combines continuum theory with active response controlled by calcium concentration. In the latter context, a meaningful approach, which is discussed briefly, is to couple a strain-energy function with a chemical model that describes smooth muscle contraction.

The concluding §6 summarizes the present situation and points to the need for research to incorporate more features in the models and to improve understanding of the interaction between mechanical, biological and chemical responses.
2. The basic building blocks of a structural model

(a) Kinematics, stress and constitutive structure

In some situations the mechanical behaviour of soft biological tissues can be regarded as elastic under relatively large deformations.

Locally, the deformation is described in terms of the deformation gradient tensor, denoted \( \mathbf{F} \), and the elastic material properties can be characterized in terms of a strain-energy function, here denoted \( \psi \), which, in general, is a function of \( \mathbf{F} \). For reasons of objectivity the dependence on \( \mathbf{F} \) is through the right Cauchy–Green tensor \( \mathbf{C} = \mathbf{F}^T \mathbf{F} \). Thus, \( \psi = \psi(\mathbf{C}) \) and the associated Cauchy stress tensor \( \mathbf{\sigma} \) is given by

\[
\mathbf{\sigma} = 2J^{-1} \mathbf{F} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \mathbf{C}} \mathbf{F}^T, \quad (2.1)
\]

for a material without internal mechanical constraints, where \( J = \det \mathbf{F} \). For an isotropic material \( \psi \) depends on \( \mathbf{C} \) only through its three principal invariants \( I_1, I_2 \) and \( I_3 \), which are defined by

\[
I_1 = \text{tr} \mathbf{C}, \quad I_2 = \frac{1}{2}[((\text{tr} \mathbf{C})^2 - \text{tr}(\mathbf{C}^2)] \quad \text{and} \quad I_3 = \det \mathbf{C}. \quad (2.2)
\]

For further details of the basic kinematics of finite deformations see, for example, the books by Ogden (1997) and Holzapfel (2000).

Many soft tissues can be treated as incompressible materials and justification for this is provided in the studies by, for example, Carew et al. (1968) in relation to arteries and Vossoughi et al. (1980) for myocardial tissue. For an incompressible material the constraint \( I_3 = \det \mathbf{C} = 1 \) holds and as a result the Cauchy stress tensor (2.1) has to be modified in the form

\[
\mathbf{\sigma} = -p \mathbf{I} + 2 \mathbf{F} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \mathbf{C}} \mathbf{F}^T, \quad (2.3)
\]

where \( p \) is a Lagrange multiplier associated with the constraint and represents a contribution to the hydrostatic stress. Note that equation (2.3) applies for any incompressible elastic material without restriction on the specific material properties. For an incompressible isotropic material \( \psi \) depends only on \( I_1 \) and \( I_2 \), and equation (2.3) expands to

\[
\mathbf{\sigma} = -p \mathbf{I} + 2\psi_1 \mathbf{B} + 2\psi_2(I_1 \mathbf{B} - \mathbf{B}^2), \quad (2.4)
\]

where \( \psi_i = \partial \psi / \partial I_i, \ i = 1, 2 \), and \( \mathbf{B} = \mathbf{FF}^T \) denotes the left Cauchy–Green tensor.

In soft tissues the collagen component endows the material with one or more preferred directions. In the case of a single family of locally aligned collagen fibres the preferred direction can be characterized by a unit vector, here denoted \( \mathbf{M} \), and the material response is then transversely isotropic. This is reflected in the form of \( \psi \), which we now write as \( \psi(\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{M}) \). Moreover, if the material properties are independent of the sense of \( \mathbf{M} \) then \( \psi(\mathbf{C}, -\mathbf{M}) = \psi(\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{M}) \) and then \( \psi \) depends on \( \mathbf{M} \) only through the tensor product \( \mathbf{M} \otimes \mathbf{M} \). A transversely isotropic strain-energy function \( \psi(\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{M} \otimes \mathbf{M}) \) can then be regarded as an isotropic function of \( \mathbf{C} \).
and $M \otimes M$. With these two tensors are associated two additional independent invariants, which typically are taken to be

$$I_4 = M \cdot (CM) \quad \text{and} \quad I_5 = M \cdot (C^2M). \quad (2.5)$$

The invariant $I_4$ has a direct interpretation as the square of the stretch in the direction of the fibre, but $I_5$ does not have a similar immediate interpretation.

Then for an incompressible material reinforced by a single family of fibres, $\Psi$ depends on the four invariants $I_1, I_2, I_4$ and $I_5$. In this case the Cauchy stress has two extra terms associated with the anisotropic contribution and extends to the form

$$\sigma = -pI + 2\psi_1 B + 2\psi_2(I_1 B - B^2) + 2\psi_4 m \otimes m + 2\psi_5 (m \otimes Bm + Bm \otimes m), \quad (2.6)$$

where $m = FM$ is the push forward of $M$ to the deformed configuration, while $\psi_i = \partial \Psi / \partial I_i$, $i = 1, 2, 4, 5$.

An interesting alternative to $I_5$ as the second transversely isotropic invariant, which does have a direct interpretation, is defined by

$$I_5^\ast = M \cdot (C^\ast M), \quad (2.7)$$

where $C^\ast = I_3 C^{-1}$ ($I_3 = 1$ for an incompressible material). The interpretation is based on Nanson’s formula $\mathbf{n} \, d\mathbf{a} = JF^{-1} \mathbf{N} \, d\mathbf{A}$ connecting an infinitesimal area element $d\mathbf{A}$, with unit normal $\mathbf{N}$, in the reference configuration to the corresponding area element $d\mathbf{a}$, with unit normal $\mathbf{n}$, in the deformed configuration. With $\mathbf{N} = \mathbf{M}$ the ratio $\frac{d\mathbf{a}^2}{d\mathbf{A}^2}$ is given by $I_5^\ast$, and hence $I_5^\ast$ is a measure of changes in area normal to the fibre direction. Note that in general $\mathbf{m} = \mathbf{FM}$ does not coincide with $\mathbf{n}$ either in magnitude or in direction. If we use $I_5^\ast$ instead of $I_5$ and write $\Psi = \Psi^\ast(I_1, I_2, I_4, I_5^\ast)$ then the Cauchy stress has the same structure as in equation (2.6), but with different, but equivalent, coefficients. Explicitly we have

$$\sigma = -pI + 2(\psi_1^\ast - I_4 \psi_4^\ast)B + 2(\psi_2^\ast + \psi_5^\ast)(I_1 B - B^2)$$

$$+ 2(\psi_4^\ast - I_4 \psi_4^\ast) m \otimes m + 2\psi_5^\ast (m \otimes Bm + Bm \otimes m), \quad (2.8)$$

where $\psi_i^\ast = \partial \Psi^\ast / \partial I_i$, $i = 1, 2, 4$, $\psi_5^\ast = \partial \Psi^\ast / \partial I_5^\ast$. In principle the four constitutive functions $\psi_1, \psi_2, \psi_4$ and $\psi_5$, equivalently $\psi_1^\ast, \psi_2^\ast, \psi_4^\ast$ and $\psi_5^\ast$, can be determined on the basis of four independent test protocols (see Holzapfel & Ogden 2009c); however, limitations of currently available experimental apparatus preclude the possibility of performing such tests simultaneously on a single specimen. Nevertheless, much valuable data can be obtained on the basis of planar biaxial tests on thin sheets of material, uniaxial tests on strips of tissue or from shear tests. In particular, we now describe a theory that provides the basis for analysing data from biaxial tests.

Biaxial extension of a thin sheet of tissue is a commonly used test protocol. For a thin sheet it is appropriate to adopt the membrane approximation, and with reference to Cartesian axes $\mathbf{e}_1$ and $\mathbf{e}_2$ aligned with the edges of the sheet and $\mathbf{e}_3$ normal to the plane of the sheet in the reference configuration the membrane
approximation is expressed through the equations \( \sigma_{i3} = 0, \ i = 1, 2, 3 \). The in-plane stresses are then (Holzapfel & Ogden 2009c)

\[
\sigma_{11} = 2(B_{11} - B_{33})\psi_1 + 2[(B_{11} - B_{33})B_{22} - B_{12}^2]\psi_2 + 2m_1^2\psi_4 + 4m_1(B_{11}m_1 + B_{12}m_2)\psi_5, \tag{2.9}
\]

\[
\sigma_{22} = 2(B_{22} - B_{33})\psi_1 + 2[(B_{22} - B_{33})B_{11} - B_{12}^2]\psi_2 + 2m_2^2\psi_4 + 4m_2(B_{12}m_1 + B_{22}m_2)\psi_5 \tag{2.10}
\]

and

\[
\sigma_{12} = 2B_{12}\psi_1 + 2B_{12}B_{33}\psi_2 + 2m_1m_2\psi_4 + 2[m_1m_2(B_{11} + B_{22}) + B_{12}(m_1^2 + m_2^2)]\psi_5 \tag{2.11}
\]

where \( B_{ij} \) are the components of \( \mathbf{B} \), with \( B_{13} = B_{23} = 0 \), and \( m_1 \) and \( m_2 \) are the components of \( \mathbf{m} \), with \( m_3 = 0 \). Equations (2.9)–(2.11) contain the four independent constitutive functions \( \psi_1, \psi_2, \psi_4 \) and \( \psi_5 \). However, only three independent components of deformation are included in these equations, namely \( B_{11}, B_{22} \) and \( B_{12} \) (note that by the incompressibility condition \( B_{33} \) is given in terms of these three components). These are related to just three components of stress. It is not possible, therefore, to solve the equations uniquely for \( \psi_1, \psi_2, \psi_4 \) and \( \psi_5 \). Thus, planar biaxial tests alone are insufficient to fully characterize the elastic properties of the material.

In the specialization to pure homogeneous deformation the component matrix of \( \mathbf{F} \) is diagonal with entries \( \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \) and \( \lambda_3 \), which are the principal stretches. We then have \( B_{11} = \lambda_1^2, B_{22} = \lambda_2^2 \) and \( B_{12} = 0 \) and by use of the incompressibility condition, which yields \( \lambda_3 = \lambda_1^{-\frac{1}{2}}\lambda_2^{-\frac{1}{2}} \), equations (2.9)–(2.11) simplify to

\[
\sigma_{11} = 2(\lambda_1^2 - \lambda_1^{-2}\lambda_2^{-2})\psi_1 + \lambda_2^2\psi_2 + 2m_1^2\psi_4 + 4\lambda_1^2m_1^2\psi_5, \tag{2.12}
\]

\[
\sigma_{22} = 2(\lambda_2^2 - \lambda_1^{-2}\lambda_2^{-2})\psi_1 + \lambda_1^2\psi_2 + 2m_2^2\psi_4 + 4\lambda_2^2m_2^2\psi_5 \tag{2.13}
\]

and

\[
\sigma_{12} = 2m_1m_2[\psi_4 + (\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2)\psi_5], \tag{2.14}
\]

and in terms of the principal stretches the invariants become

\[
I_1 = \lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2 + \lambda_1^{-2}\lambda_2^{-2} \quad \text{and} \quad I_2 = \lambda_1^{-2} + \lambda_2^{-2} + \lambda_1^2\lambda_2^2 \tag{2.15}
\]

and

\[
I_4 = m_1^2 + m_2^2 \quad \text{and} \quad I_5 = \lambda_1^2m_1^2 + \lambda_2^2m_2^2. \tag{2.16}
\]

Note that since \( B_{12} = 0 \) there is no shear deformation but in general the shear stress \( \sigma_{12} \) is non-zero unless either \( m_1 = 0 \) or \( m_2 = 0 \), in which case the fibre direction is aligned with one of the in-plane coordinate axes.

For some tissues, such as arterial wall tissues, two families of fibres can be distinguished. These are then associated with two distinct preferred directions in the reference configuration, and for the second one we denote the corresponding unit vector by \( \mathbf{M}' \). This introduces three additional invariants; two are analogous to \( I_4 \) and \( I_5 \) and denoted \( I_6 \) and \( I_7 \), and the third is a coupling invariant which involves both \( \mathbf{M} \) and \( \mathbf{M}' \). These are defined by

\[
I_6 = \mathbf{M}' \cdot (\mathbf{C}\mathbf{M}'), \quad I_7 = \mathbf{M}' \cdot (\mathbf{C}^2\mathbf{M}') \quad \text{and} \quad I_8 = [\mathbf{M} \cdot (\mathbf{C}\mathbf{M}')] (\mathbf{M} \cdot \mathbf{M}'). \tag{2.17}
\]
Note that the factor $M \cdot M'$ in $I_8$ is included to ensure that $I_8$ does not depend on the sense of either $M$ or $M'$. The strain-energy function $\Psi$ then depends (in general) on $I_1, I_2, I_4, I_5, I_6, I_7$ and $I_8$ for an incompressible material and we may associate with equation (2.17) three further constitutive functions $\psi_6, \psi_7, \psi_8$ defined by $\psi_i = \partial \Psi / \partial I_i$, $i = 6, 7, 8$. The corresponding expanded form of the Cauchy stress is not given here and we refer to, for example, Holzapfel (2000) or Ogden (2009) for the details. In this case there are seven constitutive functions and the six components of the (symmetric) Cauchy stress tensor $\sigma$ are given in terms of the six components of the (symmetric) deformation tensor $B$. These six connections are not enough to determine the seven constitutive functions uniquely, a similar situation to the case of transverse isotropy in relation to planar biaxial deformations.

The theoretical restriction alluded to above is to be considered in conjunction with the fact that data from a range of different deformations on particular tissues are scarce, and, indeed, there are insufficient data to be able to distinguish between the effects of the different deformation invariants via the constitutive functions. Therefore, in order to make the characterization of the material properties tractable it is usual practice to adopt some specialization of the functional dependence of $\Psi$, in particular by reducing its dependence on the number of invariants and hence reducing the number of constitutive functions. In the following subsection we examine some examples of this reduction and henceforth we restrict attention to incompressible materials.

(b) Specific strain-energy functions

Without loss of generality we may write the strain-energy function for a material with a single preferred direction $M$ (with no dependence on the sense of $M$) in the form

$$\Psi(C, M \otimes M) = \Psi_g(C) + \Psi_f(C, M \otimes M) \quad (2.18)$$

by separating out part of $\Psi$ that is independent of $M$. Now, however, it is convenient to specialize this by associating $\Psi_g$ with the non-collagenous ground substance (indicated by subscript $g$) and $\Psi_f$ with the embedded family of collagen fibres (indicated by subscript $f$), as was originally proposed by Holzapfel & Weizsäcker (1998) and adopted subsequently by many authors. For the transversely isotropic case, equation (2.18) can be represented in terms of invariants as

$$\Psi(I_1, I_2, I_4, I_5) = \Psi_g(I_1, I_2) + \Psi_f(I_1, I_2, I_4, I_5). \quad (2.19)$$

A simple starting point, which captures both the isotropy of the ground substance through $I_1$ and the transverse isotropy associated with the fibres through $I_4$, is the reduced form

$$\Psi(I_1, I_4) = \Psi_g(I_1) + \Psi_f(I_4). \quad (2.20)$$

In order to relate this model to available experimental data, it is common practice to treat the ground substance as a neo-Hookean material and this can in part be justified by the study of Gundiah et al. (2007), which showed that the...
neo-Hookean model gives a satisfactory description of the mechanical response of arterial elastin, an important constituent of the ground substance. Hence, we may take

\[ \Psi_g(I_1) = \frac{c}{2}(I_1 - 3), \quad (2.21) \]

where \( c > 0 \) is a stress-like parameter, which for the neo-Hookean material in isolation may be identified as the shear modulus of the material in the reference configuration.

The strong stiffening effect of the tissue observed at higher loadings is almost entirely due to collagen fibres and motivates the use of an exponential function for the description of the strain energy stored in the collagen fibres, as originally proposed by Fung (1967). Thus, as in Holzapfel et al. (2000a), we take

\[ \Psi_f(I_4) = \frac{k_1}{k_2} \{ \exp[k_2(I_4 - 1)^2] - 1 \}, \quad (2.22) \]

where \( k_1 > 0 \) is a stress-like material parameter and \( k_2 > 0 \) is a dimensionless parameter. An appropriate choice of \( k_1 \) and \( k_2 \) enables the histologically based assumption that the crimped collagen fibres have little influence on the mechanical response of the artery in the low loading domain under tension to be modelled. Because of the wavy (crimped) structure it is generally assumed that collagen is not able to support compression since the fibres would buckle under the smallest compressive load. It is therefore assumed that the fibres contribute to the strain energy in extension but not in compression. Hence in the model (2.20), the anisotropic term should contribute only when the fibres are extended, that is, when \( I_4 > 1 \), and hence when \( I_4 \leq 1 \) the response of the tissue is purely isotropic. This modelling assumption is not only physically based, but is also essential for reasons of stability and consistency with inequalities such as strong ellipticity, as discussed in, for example, Holzapfel et al. (2004). For the model (2.20) with equations (2.21) and (2.22) the Cauchy stress tensor (2.6) reduces to

\[ \sigma = -pI + cB + 4k_1(I_4 - 1)\exp[k_2(I_4 - 1)^2]\mathbf{m} \otimes \mathbf{m}. \quad (2.23) \]

For small strains the stress–strain response should be consistent with the linear theory of transverse isotropy, which for an incompressible material involves three independent elastic constants. In terms of the classical Voigt notation \( c_{ij} \), the independent constants are \( c_{11} - c_{12} \) and \( c_{11} + c_{33} - 2c_{13} \) and \( c_{44} \) when the third direction is the direction of transverse isotropy. According to Merodio & Ogden (2005) these constants are related to the first and second derivatives of \( \Psi \) evaluated in the reference configuration by

\[ \psi_1 + \psi_2 = \frac{1}{4}(c_{11} - c_{12}), \quad (2.24) \]

\[ \psi_1 + \psi_2 + \psi_5 = \frac{1}{4}c_{44} \quad (2.25) \]

and

\[ \psi_{44} + 4\psi_{45} + 4\psi_{55} = \frac{1}{4}(c_{11} + c_{33} - 2c_{13} - 4c_{44}), \quad (2.26) \]

where \( \psi_{ij} = \partial^2 \Psi / \partial I_i \partial I_j, \quad i, j \in \{4, 5\}; \) see also Ogden (2009). The strong ellipticity condition requires that \( c_{11} - c_{12} > 0, \ c_{11} + c_{33} - 2c_{13} > 0 \) and \( c_{44} > 0 \), and the
positivity of the material constants $c$ and $k_1$ is consistent with these inequalities and we note the connections
\[
\frac{1}{4}(c_{11} - c_{12}) = c_{44} = c \quad \text{and} \quad c_{11} + c_{33} - 2c_{13} = c + 8k_1.
\] (2.27)
Since it involves only two independent constants the model consisting of the sum of equations (2.21) and (2.22) therefore reduces to a special form of transversely isotropic material in the linear specialization.

A simple counterpart of equation (2.20) for a material with two families of fibres may be written in the form
\[
\Psi(I_1, I_4, I_6) = \Psi_g(I_1) + \Psi_f(I_4, I_6),
\] (2.28)
where $I_6$ represents the square of the stretch in the direction of the second family of fibres, as defined by equation (2.17). A commonly used form of the energy function (2.28), an extension of equation (2.22) to the two-fibre case in which the two families have the same mechanical properties, is given by
\[
\Psi(I_1, I_4, I_6) = \frac{c}{2}(I_1 - 3) + \frac{k_1}{2k_2} \sum_{i=4,6} \{\exp[k_2(I_i - 1)^2] - 1\}. \tag{2.29}
\]
Note that when $I_4 = I_6$ this reduces to the sum of equations (2.21) and (2.22). Such a model is particularly appropriate for arteries because of the symmetrical helical arrangement of the collagen fibres, with their directions $\mathbf{M}$ and $\mathbf{M}'$ lying in the tangent plane of the artery wall and making equal angles with the artery axis. The associated Cauchy stress tensor is
\[
\sigma = -pI + cB + 2k_1(I_4 - 1)\exp[k_2(I_4 - 1)^2]\mathbf{m} \otimes \mathbf{m}
+ 2k_1(I_6 - 1)\exp[k_2(I_6 - 1)^2]\mathbf{m}' \otimes \mathbf{m}', \tag{2.30}
\]
generalizing equation (2.23), where $\mathbf{m}' = \mathbf{F}\mathbf{M}'$. This model is discussed in the following subsection in connection with the extension and inflation of an artery.

(c) Application to the extension and inflation of an arterial segment

Extension–inflation tests on arterial segments provide an alternative means of obtaining biaxial data. Let us consider an artery as a thick-walled circular cylindrical tube, which in its unloaded configuration has internal and external radii $A$ and $B$, respectively, and length $L$. Its geometry may then be described in terms of cylindrical polar coordinates $(R, \Theta, Z)$ by $A \leq R \leq B$, $0 \leq \Theta \leq 2\pi$, $0 \leq Z \leq L$. The corresponding deformed tube, with the circular cylindrical symmetry maintained, is then described by cylindrical polar coordinates $(r, \theta, z)$, with $a \leq r \leq b$, $0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi$, $0 \leq z \leq l$, where $a$, $b$ and $l$ are the deformed counterparts of $A$, $B$ and $L$ and the deformation is given by
\[
r^2 = a^2 + \lambda_z^{-1}(R^2 - A^2), \quad \theta = \Theta \quad \text{and} \quad z = \lambda_z Z,
\] (2.31)
where $\lambda_z = l/L$ is the uniform stretch in the axial direction and the first equation is a consequence of the incompressibility condition. Because of the symmetry the component matrix of the deformation gradient with respect to the cylindrical axes is diagonal and its entries are again the principal stretches, which we write as $\lambda^{-1}\lambda_z^{-1}, \lambda, \lambda_z$ in the radial, azimuthal and axial directions, respectively, where $\lambda$ is
the azimuthal stretch $r/R$ and the radial stretch is given by the incompressibility condition. The deformation is locally biaxial in the $(\theta, z)$ tangential plane, with $\lambda$ dependent on the radius.

Let $\phi$ denote the angle between each of the fibre directions $\mathbf{M}$ and $\mathbf{M}'$ and the circumferential direction so that they are symmetrically disposed with respect to the axis and have no component in the radial direction. Then, the invariants $I_1$, $I_4$ and $I_6$ can all be expressed as functions of the two independent stretches as

$$I_1 = \lambda^2 + \lambda_z^2 - \lambda^2 \lambda_z^{-2} \quad \text{and} \quad I_6 = I_4 = \lambda^2 \cos^2 \phi + \lambda_z^2 \sin^2 \phi,$$

and, for the considered deformation, $\Psi$ can be regarded as a function of $\lambda$ and $\lambda_z$, which we write as $\hat{\Psi}(\lambda, \lambda_z)$. By a standard calculation using the components of the Cauchy stress (2.30) we obtain the stress differences

$$\sigma_{\theta\theta} - \sigma_{rr} = \lambda \frac{\partial \hat{\Psi}}{\partial \lambda} \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_{zz} - \sigma_{rr} = \lambda_z \frac{\partial \hat{\Psi}}{\partial \lambda_z}.$$  

(2.33)

Because of the symmetry there is no shear stress and the normal stresses $\sigma_{rr}$, $\sigma_{\theta\theta}$ and $\sigma_{zz}$ are principal stresses, and the equilibrium equation consists of just the radial equation

$$\frac{d\sigma_{rr}}{dr} + \frac{1}{r} (\sigma_{rr} - \sigma_{\theta\theta}) = 0. \quad (2.34)$$

A standard experimental protocol involves application of an internal pressure and an axial load to an arterial segment with closed ends. Let the internal pressure be denoted by $P$ and the resultant axial load by $N$. Then, integration of equation (2.34) and application of the boundary conditions $\sigma_{rr} = -P$ on $r = a$ and $\sigma_{rr} = 0$ on $r = b$ leads to the expression

$$P = \int_a^b \lambda \frac{\partial \hat{\Psi}}{\partial \lambda} \frac{dr}{r} \equiv \int_{\lambda_a}^{\lambda_b} (\lambda^2 \lambda_z - 1)^{-1} \hat{\psi}_\lambda \, d\lambda, \quad (2.35)$$

while, after some rearrangement using equation (2.34), the resultant axial load, given by

$$N = 2\pi \int_a^b \sigma_{zz} r \, dr, \quad (2.36)$$

can be written in the form

$$F \equiv N - \pi a^2 P = \pi A^2 (\lambda_a^2 \lambda_z - 1) \int_{\lambda_a}^{\lambda_b} (\lambda^2 \lambda_z - 1)^{-2} (2\lambda_z \hat{\psi}_{\lambda_z} - \lambda \hat{\psi}_\lambda) \, d\lambda, \quad (2.37)$$

where $F$ is the so-called reduced axial force (the force applied in the axial direction additional to that generated by the pressure on the closed ends of the tube), $\hat{\psi}_\lambda = \partial \hat{\Psi} / \partial \lambda$, $\hat{\psi}_{\lambda_z} = \partial \hat{\Psi} / \partial \lambda_z$ and $\lambda_a$ and $\lambda_b$ are the values of $\lambda$ at $r = a$ and $r = b$, respectively. For detailed derivation of the formulae (2.35) and (2.37) see, for example, Ogden (2009). In this connection, we note that it can be shown from equation (2.31) that

$$\lambda^2 \lambda_z - 1 = \frac{(\lambda_a^2 \lambda_z - 1) A^2}{R^2} \quad (2.38)$$

and hence that $\lambda^2 \lambda_z - 1$ has the same sign throughout the wall thickness.
For a thin-walled tube, the formulae (2.35) and (2.37) can be approximated by

\[ P = \varepsilon \lambda^{-1} \lambda_z^{-1} \dot{\psi}_\lambda \quad \text{and} \quad F = \varepsilon \pi A^2 (2 \dot{\psi}_{\lambda z} - \lambda \lambda_z^{-1} \dot{\psi}_\lambda), \]

(2.39)

where \( \varepsilon = (B - A)/A \).

The first part in equation (2.39) provides the theoretical background against which the representative data from the recent study by Sommer et al. (2010), shown in figure 1, may be discussed. In particular, in figure 1a the internal pressure is plotted as a function of the circumferential stretch for several fixed values of the axial stretch. These curves show the characteristic stiffening response associated with the stretching of the collagen fibres following the relatively soft response primarily associated with the deformation of the ground substance. As can be seen, the response is stiffer for higher axial pre-stretches. For other types of soft tissues, such as the myocardium, a similar stiffening response can be observed (e.g. Dokos et al. 2002). Figure 1b shows the pressure as a function of the axial stretch starting from an initial axial pre-stretch at zero pressure. These plots show that the arterial tube elongates during inflation for low axial pre-stretches, but for larger axial pre-stretches the tube length decreases with pressure. The transition between these two behaviours corresponds to an axial pre-stretch of about 1.15, for which there is no change in length due to changes in pressure. This behaviour is typical for human arteries, as exemplified in Schulze-Bauer & Holzapfel (2003).

It should be emphasized that the data in figure 1 are for an intact internal carotid artery. The behaviours of the constituent layers are quite different, and we illustrate this in figures 2 and 3, where the behaviour of each of the media–intima composite and the adventitia is shown for the same artery on the same basis as in figure 1. From figure 2, we highlight two features: with reference to figure 2a the initial axial stretch has a negligible effect on the circumferential stretch and it has only a small influence on the pressure versus circumferential stretch. Review. Modelling of arteries

Figure 2. Representative pressure–stretch response of the media–intima composite of an internal carotid artery. (a) Pressure versus circumferential stretch for fixed values of the axial stretch. (b) Pressure versus axial stretch for different starting values of the axial stretch. The media–intima composite is from the same artery as in figure 1. Modified from Sommer et al. (2010).

Figure 3. Representative pressure–stretch response of the adventitia of an internal carotid artery. (a) Pressure versus circumferential stretch for fixed values of the axial stretch. (b) Pressure versus axial stretch for different starting values of the axial stretch. The adventitia is from the same artery as in figure 1. Modified from Sommer et al. (2010).

stretch plot for the range of axial stretches achieved. The inversion characterized in figure 1b does not appear because the axial stretches reached were not as large as those for the intact artery. In the case of the adventitia, as shown in figure 3, the influence of the initial axial stretch was slightly more noticeable for zero pressure, and the pressure response was more dependent on the axial stretch (see figure 3a). From figure 3b we again see that there is no inversion effect within the considered elastic range up to 20 per cent axial stretch. Further
stretching was precluded because of the onset of damage and ultimate rupture of this layer. As can be seen from figure 3 the pressure–stretch behaviour of the adventitia stiffens more rapidly than for the media–intima composite shown in figure 2. Similar experimental data and ultimate tensile stresses and stretches from the individual layers of non-stenotic human left anterior descending coronary arteries are documented in Holzapfel et al. (2005a). This study showed the need to model non-stenotic human coronary arteries as structures composed of three solid mechanically relevant layers exhibiting distinct mechanical properties.

3. Applications of the fibre–structure model

Modelling of soft tissues as fibre-reinforced elastic materials on the basis of the invariant structure outlined in §2 is now well established and widely used. The main application to date has been in the context of arterial wall mechanics but it is also being used for a growing range of other tissues. In this section therefore we focus first on describing the different aspects of the use of the invariant approach for arteries but we then go on to discuss, albeit more briefly, some applications to other tissues and then more general applications to soft tissues not specific to particular tissues.

(a) Arterial wall modelling and its applications

An excellent starting point for the discussion of the mechanics of arteries and more generally of the cardiovascular system is the text by Humphrey (2002), wherein the basic constitutive structure for the description of the mechanics of arterial walls is developed. This includes a review of strain-energy functions available in the literature up to the year 2000, which are based mainly on the Fung model (see Humphrey 2002, §7.5). Discussion of more recent contributions can be found in the lecture notes edited by Holzapfel & Ogden (2003, 2009a) and the conference proceedings volume edited by Holzapfel & Ogden (2006). In the present section we focus on the development since 2000 of invariant-based structural models of arterial walls because they have been widely used in the literature since then and it has been recognized that this provides a general framework on which to build more sophisticated models.

We begin by considering the modelling of healthy arteries. The structurally based three-dimensional constitutive model developed by Holzapfel et al. (2000a, 2004) accounts for the collagen fibre orientation of each layer in the artery and for residual stresses and fits representative experimental data on different arteries. Moreover, it involves a relatively small number of material parameters and is consistent with requirements of material stability and mathematical considerations such as convexity and strong ellipticity. Figure 4 shows the deformation behaviour of a carotid artery during inflation and torsion modelled as a two-layer thick-walled tube (media, adventitia) with residual strains. The same form of constitutive model (2.29) was adopted for the two layers but a different set of material parameters was used for each layer. In particular, based on equations (2.35) and (2.37), respectively, the internal pressure $P$ (figure 4a) and the reduced axial force $F$ (figure 4b) are shown as functions of the internal radius $a$. In addition, the dependence of the torsional couple $M_t$.

Figure 4. Deformation behaviour of a carotid artery during inflation and torsion using the constitutive model (2.29). Solid curves are numerical results with residual strains included ($\lambda = 160.0^\circ$) and the dashed curves are results without residual strains. Dependence of (a) the internal pressure $P$ and (b) the reduced axial force $F$ on the internal radius $a$, without shear deformation ($\gamma_i = 0$). Dependence of (c) the torsional couple $M_t$ and (d) the reduced axial force $F$ on the shear $\gamma_i$ at fixed internal pressure $P = 13.33$ (kPa). The shaded circles indicate the approximate central region of the physiological state. Reproduced from Holzapfel et al. (2000a) with kind permission of Springer Science and Business Media.

(figure 4c) and the reduced axial force $F$ (figure 4d) on the shear $\gamma_i$ at fixed internal pressure $P = 13.33$ (kPa) are depicted. A method for determining the parameters in this model on the basis of clinically registered pressure–radius signals was discussed by Stålhand (2009), who treated the artery as a single-layered membrane. The method developed was shown to be robust and yielded unique values of the material parameters. A similar approach using the same model was used by Masson et al. (2008). An extension of the model to include viscoelastic effects applied to examples of an artery under static and dynamic boundary loading conditions is documented in Holzapfel et al. (2002a).
model captures the typical viscoelastic properties of muscular arteries, which are essentially insensitive to strain rate over several decades (nearly constant damping, independent of frequency).

Within the constitutive framework for elastic arteries described above the un-crimping of collagen fibres has been accounted for by use of an engagement strain described in terms of a probability distribution function (Zulliger et al. 2004a). This model captures the actual unfolding of the collagen fibres but has the disadvantage that the energy function associated with the fibres involves an integral over the probability distribution, which makes the model difficult to implement efficiently into numerical codes and therefore limits its applicability. An engagement strain was also discussed by Speirs et al. (2008), who used a finite element implementation to analyse the inflation and extension of a thick-walled tube by using the models (2.21) and (2.22) and compared the results with those from the engagement model of Zulliger et al. (2004a).

Baek et al. (2007a), Hu et al. (2007) and Zeinali-Davarani et al. (2009) considered a model of the form of equation (2.29) but with four families of fibres instead of two. This model is motivated by microscopic data on the arterial collagen organization obtained from multi-photon microscopy (Wicker et al. 2008). The additional two families, with equal material properties, are said to describe the properties of fibres oriented in the circumferential and axial directions. Gasser et al. (2002) and Chen et al. (2009) used the model (2.29) in applications to the analysis of wall stresses and strains during the clinically relevant procedure of arterial clamping. The first of these papers adopted a two-layer model for the media and adventitia and showed, in particular, that the axial stress increased very significantly during the clamping procedure, while the second paper was concerned with fluid–structure interactions and used a single-layer model to evaluate the effect of clamping on the wall stresses.

We now turn attention to the modelling of arteries under pathological conditions and the related clinical procedure of balloon angioplasty. The papers by Tang et al. (2009) and Yang et al. (2009) describe three-dimensional fluid–structure interaction in human coronary arteries with atherosclerotic plaques using more advanced computational analysis. The solid model was based on equations (2.21) and (2.22) with an additional exponential function in $I_1$. One conclusion was that cyclic bending and anisotropic properties may cause an increase of between 50 and 800 per cent in the maximum principal stress values at selected locations. The model has also served as a basis for examination of the biaxial behaviour of carotid arteries from mice with muscular dystrophy (Gleason et al. 2008). The four-fibre family model provided a good fit to the passive data in this case.

The procedure of balloon angioplasty has received considerable attention in the literature from the point of view of modelling. The first layer-specific three-dimensional model of the mechanics of balloon angioplasty was developed by Holzapfel et al. (2002b) following a preliminary study documented by Holzapfel et al. (2000b). Figure 5a shows the distribution of the circumferential Cauchy stresses (in kPa) in a representative cross section of a highly stenotic external iliac artery (male, 68 years) in a configuration where the angioplasty balloon is fully expanded. The three-dimensional analysis was also based on the model (2.21) with (2.22) and included an extension to incorporate the inelastic effects that are
evidenced during the high-pressure response of an artery (reference simulation in figure 5a); see also Holzapfel & Gasser (2007). The structural composition formed by the different tissues is distinguished by the associated boundary curves. Figure 5b–d shows circumferential stress difference plots, i.e. reference model stresses minus simplified model stresses. The model simplifications are characterized by, respectively, neglecting axial in situ prestretch, assuming a plane strain state, and isotropic material response. Since these simplifications led to maximum stress deviations of up to 600 per cent it was concluded that the associated models are in general inappropriate. The plots are superimposed on the load-free configuration. Inelastic effects that occur during the supra-physiological artery loading of angioplasty were also captured in the finite element model of Gasser & Holzapfel (2007a), which provided a detailed analysis of the stress distribution through the different layers. They pointed out that the three-dimensional stress states under physiological loading conditions before and after balloon inflation differ significantly and that even compressive normal stresses may occur in the media following dilation.

The study by Gasser & Holzapfel (2007b) models plaque fissuring and dissection during balloon angioplasty intervention on the basis of the model (2.29) for each of the intima, media and the adventitia, while the lipid pool is treated as a neo-Hookean material. Results suggest that the plaque fissures at both shoulders of the fibrous cap and stops at the lamina elastica interna and that dissections between the intima and the media develop, causing localized mechanical trauma, but protect the main portion of the stenosis from high stress and further tissue damage. In the paper by Kiousis et al. (2007), a more complex three-dimensional balloon–stent–artery interaction problem was modelled on the basis of the constitutive equation (2.29). The simulations analyse different stent designs, and a discussion of optimal stent designs for clinically relevant parameters was provided. Rodríguez et al. (2008a) used the model (2.29) to

describe the mechanical behaviour of the balloon, for which reinforcing fibres were taken to run in the circumferential and axial directions, with different sets of material parameters for the two directions.

An abnormal growth of the cross section of an artery is associated with the development of an aneurysm. Aneurysms occur primarily in cerebral arteries and the aorta. On the basis of the multi-layer model of Kroon & Holzapfel (2008b), the study by Kroon & Holzapfel (2009) investigated the distribution of the elastic properties of cerebral aneurysm tissue identified by an inverse finite element analysis. The proposed algorithm is able to estimate the distribution and the resulting maximum principal stress at physiological loading with satisfactory accuracy. Another computational method for inverse analysis was introduced by Lu et al. (2007) and applied to a simple example of a fusiform aneurysm. Rodríguez et al. (2009) studied two patient-specific abdominal aortic aneurysm (abbreviated as AAA) geometries at 120 mmHg in order to determine the wall stresses. They compared an isotropic model with the model (2.29) and a generalization of that model which we discuss later in the paper (in §4), and they analysed the significant differences in the predictions of the models. In order to develop a criterion for assessing the risk of AAA rupture, Rissland et al. (2009) considered fluid–structure interactions in patient-specific geometries with and without intraluminal thrombus (ILT) using both an isotropic and an anisotropic material model. They determined the dependence of the wall stress distribution on the material model used with reference to the influence of ILT and concluded that an anisotropic model offers a more reliable predictor of AAA risk of rupture. A model that couples growth and rupture in AAA was developed by Volokh & Vorp (2008).

Also concerning arterial failure, Volokh (2007, 2008) investigated a criterion for failure of arteries during inflation using a two-layer model (media and adventitia) on the basis of a stress-softening function combined with equation (2.29). In addition, Gasser & Holzapfel (2006b) developed a three-dimensional cohesive-zone model to analyse dissection in a human artery. The computational results were compared with experimental data from Sommer et al. (2008), yielding excellent agreement.

An important area which has attracted considerable attention in the last few years is related to growth and remodelling of tissues, with particular emphasis on artery walls in health and disease. We mention first those papers which make use of the model (2.29). These include the study by Rodríguez et al. (2007), who modelled volumetric growth due to shear wall stress on the inner wall. They developed a three-dimensional finite element implementation and illustrated the evolution of the maximum principal stress in a stenosis. In the work of Hariton et al. (2007a, b), a simple finite element-based and stress-modulated framework for collagen fibre remodelling in artery walls was postulated. Their simulation for a human common carotid artery predicts a fibre morphology that correlates well with experimental observations. The proposed algorithm is also able to predict a fibre architecture in a human carotid bifurcation that correlates well with histological observations reported by Finlay et al. (1998).

In the paper by Alford et al. (2008), a mathematical model for growth and remodelling of arteries was presented for a thick-walled tube composed of a constrained mixture of smooth muscle cells, elastin and collagen within the framework developed by Humphrey & Rajagopal (2002) in combination with the
kinematic growth model of Rodriguez et al. (1994). Predicted pressure–radius relations and opening angles show reasonable agreement with the experimental results from the literature. A variant of the model using a (discretized) Gaussian distribution for the fibre orientation concentration, documented by Driessen et al. (2008), analyses the evolution of the mean fibre direction and the dispersion about that direction in an arterial wall and in an aortic valve. The remodelling law for the collagen architecture is based on that of Hariton et al. (2007a, b). For the arterial wall, the model is able to predict the development of two helically arranged families of collagen fibres. Olsson & Klarbring (2008) constructed a thermodynamically consistent model for growth and remodelling in elastic arteries and represented the interaction between the mechanics and the biochemical control system by configurational forces in energetic duality with growth and remodelling. The plots in figure 6 show the first Piola–Kirchhoff stresses through the deformed radius of a (single layer) thick-walled cylinder. The transmural tangential and axial stresses evolve towards a nearly constant value. The work by Valentín & Humphrey (2009) is based on evaluating the sensitivity of the predictions of the constrained mixture model of artery growth and remodelling to variations in parameters that relate to the rate of turnover of collagen fibres and smooth muscle in particular.

Discussion of growth and remodelling is particularly appropriate in the context of the development of aneurysms, and there are several approaches in the literature that aim to capture the growth and remodelling of aneurysms in cerebral arteries or abdominal aortas. Approaches that are based on the structural model of §2 are now briefly reviewed. Vena et al. (2008) proposed a time-dependent model for growth of cerebral aneurysms in which the growth process is the result of two competing mechanisms, specifically the changes in the collagen fibre reference length and in the collagen fibre density, governed by evolution laws. The two mechanisms were shown to have opposite effects on the stability
of tissue growth. Eriksson et al. (2009) modelled aneurysm growth in a human middle cerebral artery as a two-layer cylinder with the layers corresponding to the media and the adventitia. The aneurysm is regarded as a development of the adventitia following ideas from Kroon & Holzapfel (2007, 2008a), who suggested that the production and degradation of collagen fibres depends on the wall stretch. The anisotropy of the surrounding media was modelled using the strain-energy function (2.29). It was shown that an alteration in the axial in situ stretch of the artery has a significant effect on the steady-state shape of the aneurysm and the resulting stresses in the aneurysm wall.

A mathematical model describing the growth of an abdominal aortic aneurysm as a two-layer membrane was proposed by Watton et al. (2004). This uses the strain-energy function (2.29) adapted to incorporate variable recruitment and density of collagen fibres and so allowing the collagen to remodel during aneurysm growth. Watton & Hill (2009) extended this model in order to accommodate evolving mechanical properties which are clinically measurable and consistent with experimental observations reported by Länne et al. (1992). Another interesting application of equation (2.29), in which the anisotropic exponential terms have different material constants for the collagen fibres and smooth muscles, is to the analysis of the progression and resolution of vasospasm in the work of Baek et al. (2007b). The constitutive relations used combine information on the wall properties with haemodynamics and chemical kinetics, and the results are consistent with the main features of clinically reported vasospasm.

In the development of constitutive models, it is important to take account of their mathematical structure with particular reference to the prediction of a physically (in the present context biomechanically) reasonable response. This is particularly related to notions of convexity and strong ellipticity and to material stability, as was emphasized in the work by Holzapfel et al. (2000a, 2004) and in the lecture notes by Ogden (2003, 2009). Against this background a framework for the construction of anisotropic polyconvex models for soft biological tissues was presented by Balzani et al. (2006). In this paper predictions of the model (2.21) with (2.22) and polyconvex variants of the model are compared with experimental data from uniaxial extension tests on circumferential and axial strips obtained from the media of a human abdominal aorta.

(b) Modelling other soft tissues

The fibre–structure models that have been used so successfully for arterial tissue have also been used, in one variant or another, to describe the elastic properties of many other soft biological tissues. Those for which the invariant-based framework has been used include veins, intestine, oesophagus, cornea, intervertebral disc, ligament, cartilage and the myocardium, but this list in not exhaustive. Here we comment briefly on each of these.

An application to the passive behaviour of ovine infrarenal vena cava tissues was the subject of the paper by Alastrué et al. (2008b), in which the modelling approach was based on equation (2.29) but with different constants associated with $I_4$ and $I_6$. Their own experimental data showed the marked anisotropic character of the tissue, which was reproduced accurately with their model.
The intestinal wall is a fibrous tissue with a layered structure. The main structural layers are the submucosa, which is composed almost entirely of collagen with two families arranged in a uniformly oriented cross ply. The muscular layer consists essentially of circumferentially oriented muscle fibres surrounded by a muscular coat with longitudinally oriented muscle fibres. This has been modelled as a fibre-reinforced elastic material by Ciarletta et al. (2009) using an extension of the model (2.29) that accounts for the contributions of the muscle fibres.

Based on the same framework, a similar constitutive model was used to describe the mechanical properties of oesophageal tissue by Yang et al. (2006). As with the model in Zulliger et al. (2004a), they used an engagement stretch associated with the un-crimping of collagen fibres.

The strain-energy function (2.29), with the neo-Hookean term replaced by a Mooney–Rivlin term, was used to study the mechanical behaviour of the human cornea in healthy conditions and in the presence of keratoconus for increasing intraocular pressure in Pandolfi & Manganiello (2006). Exactly the same constitutive model was used in Alastrué et al. (2006) and Lanchares et al. (2008) for analysing surgical procedures such as photorefractive keratectomy and limbal relaxing incisions, and for analysing the palpation of the cornea (Niroomandi et al. 2008).

The constitutive model (2.29) was also successfully applied to the description of the biomechanical response of the annulus fibrosus in the human lumbar spine (Eberlein et al. 2001, 2004). The model is appropriate because a single lamellar of the annulus fibrosus exhibits marked nonlinearity, anisotropy and distinct regional fibre orientation (Holzapfel & Ogden 2006). In addition, several ligaments connecting vertebrae in the lumbar spine are found to be transversely isotropic and have been modelled accordingly by using the same model as above (Holzapfel & Stadler 2006).

Recently the model (2.21) with equation (2.22) has been used as the basis for describing cartilage morphology and its material response by incorporating the collagen fibre fabric (Pierce et al. 2009).

Within the same general framework an extension of the model to the passive mechanical response of the myocardium has been developed by Holzapfel & Ogden (2009b). The model embraces the (orthotropic) morphological structure of the myocardium and accounts for the muscle fibre direction and the myocyte sheet structure and fits very well the available simple shear data of Dokos et al. (2002). Figure 7, adopted from Holzapfel & Ogden (2009b), shows a comparison of the constitutive model with simple shear data (Dokos et al. 2002) obtained from tests that were conducted on cube-shaped specimens from different orientations within the passive ventricular myocardium from pig hearts. The pairs fs, fn and sn refer to the fibre-sheet, fibre-normal and sheet-normal planes, and the enclosing parentheses indicate the direction of shear within the plane; for example, (fs) indicates shear in the s direction in the fs plane. The plots highlight the orthotropic behaviour of the material.

The passive ventricular myocardium exhibits a regionally dependent and, as is clear from the plots, highly nonlinear behaviour, and it is most resistant to shear deformations that produce extension of the myocyte (f) axis in the fs and fn planes (the upper two curves).
Figure 7. Fit of the constitutive model of Holzapfel & Ogden (2009b) to simple shear data obtained from tests that were conducted on cube-shaped specimens from the passive ventricular myocardium of pig hearts; see Dokos et al. (2002). The pairs $fs$, $fn$ and $sn$ refer to the fibre-sheet, fibre-normal and sheet-normal planes, and the direction of shear within each plane is identified by the ordered pair enclosed in parentheses, as described in the text. Open circle, experimental data; solid line, model. Reproduced from Holzapfel & Ogden (2009b).

It is worth highlighting here that for myocardial tissue in particular, but also for most other biological tissues, there is a shortage of consistent test data against which models can be reliably evaluated. There is thus a pressing need for more data.

(c) Fibrous soft tissues in general

Apart from its application discussed in the foregoing, the constitutive framework has also been used to analyse various general aspects of soft-tissue behaviour without reference to specific tissues. One example is in the paper by Karšaj et al. (2009), which considers time-dependent remodelling of fibre reorientation under certain boundary conditions using the transversely isotropic model (2.22) and the hypothesis that the fibres reorient towards a principal direction of stretch.

An extension to multi-layered structures with the mean fibre alignments distinguishing one layer from another was proposed in Kroon & Holzapfel (2008b). Particular examples of such a structure include artery walls, quadriceps tendons and airway walls.

Beyond purely elastic behaviour the model has been used within the context of viscoelasticity, damage and plasticity. Its extension to viscoelasticity is discussed in Holzapfel & Gasser (2001), which also includes computational implementation.
and applications to simple boundary-value problems. A further extension to the mechanics of fibre-reinforced biological soft tissues to include three-dimensional finite-strain damage with viscoelasticity is documented in Peña et al. (2008a,b). The elastic part of the model used therein is a slight variant of equation (2.29).

A theoretical basis for including the model within the context of inelastic strains was provided in Gasser & Holzapfel (2002), which was also used in several applications, including those mentioned earlier, and allows for the possibility of multiple-fibre families.

Finally, we mention that this type of model has also been used for the analysis of textile composites, as illustrated in the papers by Nam & Thinh (2006) and Milani et al. (2007).

4. Modelling fibre dispersion

In several tissues there is a strong alignment of the collagen fibres with little dispersion in their orientation, but in other cases, such as in the adventitia of the artery wall, the heart valves and myocardial laminae, there is significant dispersion in the orientation, which has a significant influence on the mechanical response. It seems that the seminal paper by Lanir (1983) was the first to take account of fibre dispersion. This was accommodated by using a distribution density function for the fibre splay in connective tissues. However, because of the complexity of the model it has not proved to be a practical option for efficient numerical implementation. More recently models based on invariants have been adopted including those of Freed et al. (2005), Holzapfel et al. (2005a,b) and Gasser & Holzapfel (2006a). The model of Freed et al. (2005), for example, uses a structure tensor based on the Gaussian distribution of fibre directions for both two and three dimensions, and the model is applied to bioprosthetic valves; see also Freed (2008).

In what follows we discuss two other invariant-based models that account for fibre dispersion. For each model it is a minor operation to obtain the associated stress tensor and elasticity tensor, and finite element implementation of either model is straightforward and computationally efficient.

(a) The $\rho$ model

The model of Holzapfel et al. (2005a,b) uses a strain-energy function of the form $\Psi(I_1, I_4) = \Psi_g(I_1) + \Psi_f(I_1, I_4)$, with

$$\Psi_f(I_1, I_4) = \frac{k_1}{k_2} \left[ \exp\{k_2[(1 - \rho)(I_1 - 3)^2 + \rho(I_4 - 1)^2]\} - 1 \right],$$

where $k_1 > 0$ and $k_2 > 0$ are stress-like and dimensionless parameters, respectively, to be determined from mechanical tissue tests. The parameter $\rho \in [0, 1]$ is a weighting factor between full isotropy and full alignment (transverse isotropy) and is therefore a measure of dispersion in the fibre orientation. The limit $\rho = 1$ corresponds to ideal alignment of collagen fibres (0% weight on the isotropic distribution), while in the limit $\rho = 0$ an isotropic distribution is obtained. In Holzapfel et al. (2005a) the model was shown to fit the layer-specific mechanical properties of human coronary arteries very well, while in Holzapfel et al. (2005b)
the model was related to the individual components of stenotic arteries and used to study the effect of angioplasty combined with stenting on the mechanical environment of the wall. The proposed methodology allows variations in a set of stent parameters that enables the difference in the mechanical environment within the wall before and after angioplasty with stenting to be evaluated.

A slight variant of equation (4.1), due to Rodríguez et al. (2008b), is

\[ \Psi_1(I_1, I_4) = \frac{k_1}{k_2} \left[ \exp\left\{ k_2 \left[ (1 - \rho)(I_1 - 3)^2 + \rho(I_4 - I_4^0)^2 \right] \right\} - 1 \right], \tag{4.2} \]

where \( I_4^0 > 1 \) is a dimensionless parameter that is interpreted as a threshold value of \( I_4 \) beyond which the collagen fibres are un-crimped, and the anisotropic term therefore contributes only when \( I_4 > I_4^0 \). This model accurately captures the strong stiffening of collagenous tissue.

The model (4.1) served as a basis for the simulation of coronary artery bypass graft surgery, where the artery was modelled as a three-layer thick-walled tube and the finite element method was employed to predict the deformation and stress distributions at various stages of surgery (Cacho et al. 2007). It was found that the incision length has a critical influence on the graft shape and the stress in the graft wall, and that changes in the mechanical environment are severe, with stress concentrations occurring at the incision ends. Based on the same constitutive framework, Mortier et al. (2010) investigated and compared three different second-generation drug-eluting stents during implantation in the curved main branch of a coronary bifurcation in order to obtain insight into the changes of the mechanical environment. It turns out that the resulting distributions of the wall stresses are strongly dependent on the stent design, and it was shown how a stent design should be modified to reduce the maximum wall stresses. In addition, Kiousis et al. (2009) proposed a computational methodology to analyse the effect of changes in the lipid pool and calcification on wall stresses and on the collagenous cap vulnerability in a human carotid bifurcation. They found a positive correlation between the increase of lipid pool and the mechanical stress in the collagenous cap, and hence an increased risk of cap rupture. As a result of their analysis these authors were able to propose a novel vulnerability index to assess the risk of collagenous cap rupture. Figure 8 shows the distributions of the maximum principal Cauchy stress in the deformed configurations of characteristic cross sections in a carotid bifurcation at 140 mmHg. In the reference model \( RM \), the plaque volume consists of 25 per cent lipid pool I-lp and 75 per cent calcification I-c, while in a modified model \( MM \) these plaque-forming tissues are interchanged. In both models the highest stresses are identified at the thinnest part of the non-diseased wall, in the vicinity of the shoulders of the collagenous cap and in the calcification. Figure 8c shows the stress differences between these models and highlights the fact that for the internal carotid artery (ICA) the stress inside the collagenous cap I-fl for model \( MM \) is almost 50 kPa larger than that for model \( RM \), thus indicating a more rupture-prone stenosis.

The two papers of Rodríguez et al. (2008a, 2009) analyse AAAs using models based on equations (4.1) and (4.2). In particular, in Rodríguez et al. (2008b), it is shown that with the modified model (4.2) a very good agreement with biaxial stress-stretch data from AAAs provided by Vande Geest et al. (2004) can be obtained. The results suggest that shorter aneurysms are more critical when
asymmetries are present and they show the strong influence of anisotropy on the magnitude and distribution of the peak wall stress in AAAs. Rodríguez et al. (2009) compare the models (2.29) and (4.2) and an isotropic model on the basis of two patient-specific AAA geometries.

Modelling and analysis of the mechanical behaviour of mitral valves with their attached chordae tendineae on the basis of the models (4.1) and (4.2) was conducted by Prot et al. (2007, 2010) and Prot & Skallerud (2009). An application to a membrane shell using a finite element implementation was illustrated, and a comparative study of healthy and diseased valves was documented. In Prot & Skallerud (2009) it was shown that if the leaflet is modelled as a layered structure using layers with different material properties then the stresses in the fibre direction and the resistance to bending are reduced. The model (4.1) was used by Alastrué et al. (2008a) in the context of the modelling of adaptive growth of arteries with residual stresses incorporated.

(b) The $\kappa$ model

The model of Gasser et al. (2006) is based on a generalized structure tensor $\mathbf{H}$ defined by

$$
\mathbf{H} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_\omega \rho \mathbf{M} \otimes \mathbf{M} \, d\omega,
$$

which is the mean of the structure tensor $\mathbf{M} \otimes \mathbf{M}$ over the unit sphere $\omega$ weighted by the orientation distribution density $\rho$ (not to be confused with the $\rho$ in the previous subsection), where $\mathbf{M}$ is the local fibre direction and $\rho$ is normalized.
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according to

\[ \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_\omega \rho \, d\omega = 1. \]  

(4.4)

Clearly, \( \mathbf{H} \) is symmetric and in general it has five independent components since, by equation (4.4), \( \text{tr}\mathbf{H} = 1. \) Here, however, we consider only the case of a transversely isotropic distribution \( \rho = \rho(\Theta) \), for which \( \mathbf{H} \) involves only a single constant and is given by

\[ \mathbf{H} = \kappa \mathbf{I} + (1 - 3\kappa) \mathbf{M} \otimes \mathbf{M} \quad \text{and} \quad \kappa = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_0^\pi \rho \sin^3 \Theta \, d\Theta, \]  

(4.5)

where \( \kappa \) is a dispersion parameter, \( \overline{\mathbf{M}} \) is the mean fibre direction and \( \Theta \) is the angle between \( \mathbf{M} \) and \( \overline{\mathbf{M}} \). In this case equation (4.4) becomes \( \int_0^\pi \rho \sin \Theta \, d\Theta = 2. \)

Associated with \( \mathbf{H} \) we define the invariant \( I_4^* \) as \( \text{tr}(\mathbf{C} \mathbf{H}) \) which, by equation (4.5), yields

\[ I_4^* = \kappa I_1 + (1 - 3\kappa) I_4, \]  

(4.6)

where \( I_1 = \langle \mathbf{C} \mathbf{M} \rangle \cdot \mathbf{M} \). The \( \kappa \) model is a strain-energy function of the form

\[ \Psi(I_1, I_4) = \psi_g(I_1) + \psi_f(I_1, I_4) \]

with

\[ \psi_f(I_1, I_4) = \frac{k_3}{k_2} \{ \exp[k_2(I_4^* - 1)^2] - 1 \}, \]  

(4.7)

where \( k_3 > 0 \) and \( k_2 > 0 \) are again stress-like and dimensionless material parameters, respectively.

(i) The influence of the dispersion parameter \( \kappa \)

In the paper by Gasser et al. (2006) the range of the dispersion parameter \( \kappa \) was considered to be \([0, \frac{1}{3}]\). The limits \( \kappa = 0 \) and \( \frac{1}{3} \) correspond, respectively, to no dispersion (transverse isotropy) and a three-dimensional isotropic distribution of fibre orientation. It was pointed out by Li & Robertson (2009), however, that the absolute upper limit on \( \kappa \) is \( \frac{1}{2} \). It is therefore interesting to consider the effect of values of \( \kappa \) between \( \frac{1}{3} \) and \( \frac{1}{2} \), and for this purpose we consider again the von Mises distribution in the form

\[ \rho(\Theta) = 4\sqrt{\frac{b}{2\pi}} \frac{\exp[b(\cos(2\Theta) + 1)]}{\text{erfi}(\sqrt{2b})}, \]  

(4.8)

where \( b \) is the so-called concentration parameter associated and \( \text{erfi}(x) = -i\text{erf}(ix) \) denotes the so-called imaginary error function (Weisstein 2010), the error function itself being given by the standard formula

\[ \text{erf}(x) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^x \exp(-t^2) \, dt. \]  

(4.9)

If \( b \geq 0 \) then \( \kappa \) is restricted to the range \( 0 \) to \( \frac{1}{3} \); however, the right-hand side of equation (4.8) is also real for \( b < 0 \) and then it is easy to show that the range of values \( -\infty \) to \( +\infty \) for \( b \) corresponds to \( \kappa \in [0, \frac{1}{2}] \). A plot of the (monotonic) relationship between \( b \) and \( \kappa \) is shown in figure 9. For a set of values of \( \kappa \) within
Figure 9. Plot of the concentration parameter $b$ against the dispersion parameter $\kappa$ based on the combination of equations (4.5)2 and (4.8).

Figure 10. Plot of the (transversely isotropic) von Mises density function (4.8) against $\Theta$ with the centre shifted to $\Theta = 0$ for $\kappa$ between $\frac{1}{3}$ and $\frac{1}{2}$ corresponding to a negative concentration $b$.

In the limiting case $\kappa = \frac{1}{2}$ we have from equation (4.6)

$$I_4^* = \frac{1}{2}(I_1 - I_4),$$

(4.10)
which corresponds to a planar isotropic distribution of fibres with a preferred direction normal to that plane. Figure 11 shows a graphical representation of the surface of revolution about the mean fibre direction defined by the density function \( \rho(\Theta) \), analogous to fig. 4 in Gasser et al. (2006), but for seven different fibre distributions, corresponding to \( \kappa = 0, \frac{2}{15}, \frac{4}{15}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{3}{8}, \frac{11}{24} \) and \( \frac{1}{2} \).

As indicated above, the lower limit \( \kappa = 0 \) corresponds to all the fibres directed in the same direction, \( \kappa = \frac{1}{3} \) provides an isotropic three-dimensional distribution, while the upper limit \( \kappa = \frac{1}{2} \) gives an isotropic distribution in the plane normal to the preferred direction. For representation purposes the surfaces shown in figure 11 are scaled differently. As \( \kappa \) increases from \( \frac{1}{3} \) to \( \frac{1}{2} \) the fibre distribution becomes flatter and flatter, spreading towards the peripheral angles and in the limit it becomes planar, extending to infinity. Hence, the distribution changes from one-dimensional to three-dimensional down to two-dimensional.

As an illustration of the effect of values of \( \kappa \) between \( \frac{1}{3} \) and \( \frac{1}{2} \) we consider the pressure–stretch behaviour of a thin-walled circular cylindrical tube with two families of fibres distributed tangentially to the cylinder and symmetrically disposed with respect to the axis. The mean orientation of each family is at angle \( \varphi \) relative to the circumferential direction, as described in §2c. The plots in figure 12 show the relation between the dimensionless internal pressure \( P^* = P/c_e \) and the circumferential stretch \( l \) for a thin-walled (membrane) with the axial stretch \( l_z = 1 \) calculated on the basis of equation (2.39), where \( c \) is the material constant introduced in equation (2.21). The plots are based on the strain-energy function

\[
\Psi = \frac{c}{2} (I_1 - 3) + \frac{k_1}{k_2} \{ \exp[k_2(I_4^* - 1)^2] - 1 \}, \tag{4.11}
\]

where \( I_4^* = \kappa I_1 + (1 - 3\kappa)I_4 \) and \( I_1 \) and \( I_4 \) are given by equation (2.32), and are for different mean fibre orientations described by the angle \( \varphi \) and for \( \kappa = 0, 0.226, \frac{1}{3} \) and \( \frac{1}{2} \). As can be seen from figure 12 the mechanical response is stiffest for an isotropic material assumption and it is weakened by the dispersion. For \( \kappa = \frac{1}{2} \) it is significantly weaker for \( \varphi = 45^\circ \) and \( \varphi = 30^\circ \), and we note that the ordering of the curves for the different angles for \( \kappa > \frac{1}{3} \) is the opposite of that for \( \kappa < \frac{1}{3} \).

What is not clear from figure 12a is that the \( \kappa = \frac{1}{2} \) curves are non-monotonic, and in some cases yield negative values of the pressure during the tube inflation. To clarify this the curves for small values of the pressure are shown on an enlarged scale in figure 12b. Clearly, values of \( \kappa \) between \( \frac{1}{3} \) and \( \frac{1}{2} \) yield undesirable effects and such values are therefore inappropriate for use in the present context of modeling soft tissues.

Note that for an incompressible material \( I_1 \geq 3 \), while if the mean fibre direction is stretched we have \( I_1 \geq 1 \). Then, provided \( 0 \leq \kappa \leq \frac{1}{3} \), we have \( I_4^* \geq 3\kappa + (1 - 3\kappa) = 1 \). However, if \( \frac{1}{3} < \kappa \leq \frac{1}{2} \) then it is possible that \( I_4^* < 1 \), as we illustrate below.

As in §2c we now consider equation (4.11) as a function of \( \lambda \) and \( \lambda_z \): \( \tilde{\Psi}(\lambda, \lambda_z) \). For the problem of extension and inflation of a thick-walled circular cylindrical tube the inflating internal pressure \( P \) is given by equation (2.35). We now investigate the sign of the integrand in equation (2.35), which, from
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Figure 11. Three-dimensional graphical representation of the orientation density of the collagen fibres based on the transversely isotropic density function (4.8) for a representative set of values of the dispersion parameter $\kappa$.

Figure 12. Plot of the dimensionless pressure $P^* = P/ce$ against the circumferential stretch $\lambda$ for fixed axial stretch $\lambda_z = 1$ for the constitutive model (4.11) using the formula (2.39) and representative parameter values; $\kappa = 0$ is the thick dashed curves, $\kappa = 0.226$ the thin dashed curves, $\kappa = \frac{1}{2}$ the dotted curves separately for the mean fibre angles $\phi = 30^\circ, 45^\circ$ and $60^\circ$, and $\kappa = \frac{1}{3}$ is the solid curves. Figure (b) is a zoom of (a) for small values of the pressure.

The equations (4.11) and (2.32), gives

$$\lambda \hat{\psi}_x = c(\lambda^2 - \lambda_z^{-2} \lambda^{-2}) + 2k_1 \exp[k_2(I_4^* - 1)^2](I_4^* - 1)\lambda \frac{\partial I_4^*}{\partial \lambda},$$

with

$$\lambda \frac{\partial I_4^*}{\partial \lambda} = 2[k(\lambda^2 - \lambda_z^{-2} \lambda^{-2}) + (1 - 3k)\lambda^2 \cos^2 \phi].$$

As noted in §2c, $\lambda^2 \lambda_z - 1$ has the same sign at every point of the wall thickness. Thus, since the neo-Hookean shear modulus $c$ is positive the contribution of the neo-Hookean term to equation (4.12) is positive during inflation provided $\lambda^2 \lambda_z - 1 > 0$ ($= 0$ in the reference configuration). For $\lambda_z = 1$ this is clearly the case since increasing radius corresponds to $\lambda > 1$. Also $k_1 > 0$ and the exponential is positive. Thus, we examine the signs of $I^*_4 - 1$ and $\partial I^*_4/\partial \lambda$.

First, for $0 \leq \kappa \leq \frac{1}{3}$ we have $\partial I^*_4/\partial \lambda \geq 0$ and we have already noted that $I^*_4 - 1 \geq 0$. Now consider $\frac{1}{3} < \kappa \leq \frac{1}{2}$. For illustration we take $\kappa = \frac{1}{2}$ and $\lambda_z = 1$. Then, with $\lambda > 1$ we obtain

$$I^*_4 - 1 = \frac{1}{2} \lambda^{-2} (\lambda^2 - 1) (\lambda^2 \sin^2 \varphi - 1) \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda \frac{\partial I^*_4}{\partial \lambda} = \lambda^{-2} (\lambda^4 \sin^2 \varphi - 1),$$

so that $I^*_4 - 1 < 0$ for $\lambda < 1/\sin \varphi$ and $\partial I^*_4/\partial \lambda < 0$ for $\lambda^2 < 1/\sin \varphi$. Thus the exponential term in (4.12) is negative for

$$1/\sqrt{\sin \varphi} < \lambda < \frac{1}{\sin \varphi}. \quad (4.15)$$

As inflation proceeds from $\lambda = 1$ there will be parts of the integrand that are negative and this is sufficient to generate not only a maximum in $P$ followed by a minimum but also a negative value of $P$, which is physically unrealistic. This is even more apparent in the membrane approximation since the pressure is then proportional to the expression in equation (4.12), which is negative for $\lambda$ satisfying equation (4.15).

The study by Freed (2008) also discussed an equivalent of the structure tensor (4.5) for the three-dimensional case. The latter was used in the analysis of some simple modes of deformation by Freed (2009). Similar ‘structure-like’ tensors were also discussed by Federico & Herzog (2008a) in describing anisotropy of permeability in porous media. In addition, the model (4.11) was also used to capture the mechanical properties of pulmonary alveoli, which consist of collagen of types I and III, elastin and proteoglycans (Wiechert et al. 2009). In a recent paper by Haskett et al. (in press) the model was also used to fit data on abdominal aortas in order to evaluate the change in stiffness and anisotropy with age. A variant of the model that uses a (discretized) Gaussian distribution for the fibre orientation concentration has been used to model human aortic valve leaflets (Driessen et al. 2007, 2008; Balguid et al. 2008).

The recent study by Cortes et al. (in press) uses the formulation based on the generalized structure tensor (4.3) for quantitative comparisons with an angular integration formulation in which the strain energy and stresses are calculated by integrating the energy and stresses of the individual fibres, as in, for example, connective tissues (Lanir 1983), aortic valve cusps (Billiar & Sacks 2000), corneal stroma (Nguyen et al. 2008), articular cartilage (Ateshian et al. 2009) or the posterior sclera (Girard et al. 2009). Cortes et al. (in press) derived analytically the differences between the general structure tensor and angular integration formulations. On the basis of the strain-energy function (2.22) and the von Mises distribution in the form (4.8) they also illustrated numerical comparisons for three loading configurations, specifically uniaxial tension, (equi)biaxial tension...
and simple shear, vis-à-vis the angular distribution. In particular, they found that for equibiaxial deformation and planar distributions the two formulations give equivalent results.

However, it should be mentioned that Cortes et al. (in press) assert, following Federico & Herzog (2008b), that the structure tensor approach is limited to situations in which the fibres are in tension and the angular distribution of the fibres is small. However, this assertion is unfounded and is based on a misinterpretation of the discussion of the generalized structure tensor introduced in Gasser et al. (2006). In the last paper it was stated that in a fibre distribution the anisotropic part of the structure tensor contributes to the strain energy only if the mean fibre direction is extended. It was not required that all fibres in the distribution be extended or be under tension. This distinguishes a fibre distribution from the situation in which fibres are aligned. Indeed, the mechanics of fibres within a distribution are quite subtle, and it is appropriate to illustrate this briefly with a simple example.

Consider a homogeneous material with a transversely isotropic distribution of fibres and mean fibre direction $\mathbf{M}$ in the reference configuration. Suppose that the material is subject to a homogeneous deformation corresponding to simple tension $\sigma > 0$ in the direction $\mathbf{M}$, so that the Cauchy stress tensor is $\sigma = \sigma \mathbf{M} \otimes \mathbf{M}$. Let $\lambda$ be the stretch in the direction $\mathbf{M}$. Then, by symmetry and incompressibility, the two transverse stretches are equal to $\lambda^{-1/2}$. Now consider a fibre within the distribution whose direction in the reference configuration, $\mathbf{A}$ say, makes an angle $\alpha$ with the mean direction, so that $\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{M} = \cos \alpha$. Then, the stretch $\lambda_a$ in the direction of this particular fibre is given by $\lambda_a^2 = \lambda^2 \cos^2 \alpha + \lambda^{-1} \sin^2 \alpha$. This fibre is stretched under the deformation if $\lambda_a > 1$. For $\lambda > 1$, after removal of a factor $\lambda - 1$, this inequality can be written as $\tan^2 \alpha < \lambda (\lambda + 1)$. Thus, all fibres whose initial direction is such that $\tan \alpha < \sqrt{2}$ are stretched during the deformation. This gives a value of $\alpha$ of about $55^\circ$, which cannot be considered as ‘small’. Of course, for other deformations, fibres within a distribution may be stretched only for smaller angular distributions. But this is not the real issue since we do not require all fibres in a distribution to be stretched. A telling point is that for this simple tension problem the stress along the considered fibre is $\sigma_a \cdot a$, where $a$ is a unit vector in the deformed fibre direction and $\lambda_a$ is the ‘push forward’ of $\mathbf{A}$ under the deformation, so that the component of $a$ in the direction $\mathbf{M}$ is $\lambda \cos \alpha / \lambda_a$. This results in the fibre stress $\sigma a^2 \cos^2 \alpha / \lambda_a^2$, which is non-negative for all $\alpha$. Thus, for the considered deformation, all fibres in the distribution are under tension. But, as shown above, fibres for which $\alpha$ is greater than $\tan^{-1} \sqrt{2}$ are nevertheless subject to contraction initially as $\lambda$ increases from 1.

An interesting application of the dispersion model is to the human cornea, for which it was found to be important to account for the dispersed collagen fibrillar structure (Pandolfi & Holzapfel 2008). This was modelled using two families of dispersed fibrils with the strain-energy function

$$
\Psi(I_1, I_4^*, I_6^*) = \frac{c}{2} (I_1 - 3) + \sum_{i=4,6} \frac{k_i}{2k_2} \{\exp[k_2(I_i^* - 1)^2] - 1\},
$$

(4.16)

where, similarly to $I_4^*$, $I_6^*$ is defined as $\kappa I_1 + (1 - 3\kappa) I_6$. Figure 13 shows the influence of the dispersion parameter $\kappa$ on the mechanical response of a cornea at an intraocular (physiological) pressure of 16 mmHg. ‘Baseline’ refers to a
reference simulation using two families of collagen fibrils considering dispersion according to equation (4.16), ‘isotropic’ uses the neo-Hookean model \( (\kappa = \frac{1}{3}) \), ‘fibres’ refers to the case \( \kappa = 0 \) and ‘mixed’ uses the baseline distribution along the superior–inferior meridian (north–south direction) and \( \kappa = 0 \) along the nasal–temporal meridian (west–east direction). As can be seen the stress patterns change considerably with the assumptions made regarding the degree of fibril dispersion.

(ii) The case of a planar fibre distribution

For thin lamellar tissue structures, which may be treated on the basis of membrane or thin shell theory, it is appropriate to consider a planar distribution of fibres within the membrane. Then, in this two-dimensional situation we consider a distribution with the fibre direction

\[
\mathbf{M} = \cos \Theta \mathbf{e}_1 + \sin \Theta \mathbf{e}_2, \quad (4.17)
\]

as indicated in figure 14, for \( \Theta \) between \(-\pi/2\) and \(+\pi/2\), where \( \mathbf{e}_1 \) and \( \mathbf{e}_2 \) are rectangular Cartesian basis vectors. The counterpart of the three-dimensional structure tensor defined in equation (4.3) has in general three (in-plane) components, but for a fibre distribution that is symmetrical about the \( \mathbf{e}_1 \) direction the orientation density function satisfies \( \rho(-\Theta) = \rho(\Theta) \), the mean fibre direction is \( \mathbf{e}_1 \) and only one independent component remains, as in the three-dimensional situation. The normalization condition can be written as

\[
\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \rho(\Theta) \, d\Theta = 1, \quad (4.18)
\]

and the two-dimensional structure tensor is now defined by

\[
\mathbf{H} = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \rho \mathbf{M} \otimes \mathbf{M} \, d\Theta = \kappa \mathbf{I} + (1 - 2\kappa) \mathbf{e}_1 \otimes \mathbf{e}_1, \quad (4.19)
\]

where \( \mathbf{I} \) is here the two-dimensional identity and

\[
\kappa = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \rho(\Theta) \sin^2 \Theta \, d\Theta. \quad (4.20)
\]

Now, for \( \kappa = 0 \) all the fibres are in the \( \mathbf{e}_1 \) direction, i.e. there is no dispersion, while for \( \kappa = \frac{1}{2} \) the fibres are uniformly distributed in all in-plane directions (planar isotropy).

Finally in this section we note that the analogue of equation (4.6) for two dimensions is

\[
I_4^\ast = \kappa I_1 + (1 - 2\kappa) I_4, \quad (4.21)
\]

where \( I_4 = \mathbf{e}_1 \cdot (\mathbf{C} \mathbf{e}_1) \). This two-dimensional case was discussed in Ogden (2009) and an equivalent form was derived by Freed (2008, eqn 21). The relation (4.19) was also mentioned in the recent work by Cortes et al. (in press).
5. Active response of artery walls

The models discussed so far have all been based on the passive behaviour, i.e. without consideration of the activation of smooth muscle cells. Relatively little work has been done on the modelling of activation in the continuum context. Smooth muscle cells, which are contained in the middle layer of an artery, are important constituents of the vascular system and they are responsible for control of short-term changes in lumen diameter and of long-term changes in the extracellular matrix turnover (e.g. Li et al. 1998).

In this section we provide an overview of the relevant continuum modelling aspects. First, however, we briefly refer to the history of the theory of muscle activation, which dates back to the work of Hill (1938). Based on the three-element Hill model several models have been developed; here, however, we mention in particular the papers by Ettema & Meijer (2000), Lloyd & Besier (2003) and Lichtwark & Wilson (2005), which collectively provide an overview of the literature although they do not particularly focus on the contraction of smooth muscle cells. Papers which capture smooth muscle contractions and related models, also based on the Hill model, are those by Gestrelius & Borgström (1986), Yang et al. (2003a) and Zulliger et al. (2004b), which are now summarized briefly.
Gestrelius & Borgström (1986) proposed a one-dimensional dynamic model of smooth muscle contraction. The model describes the energy transfer via cross-bridges and takes account of experimental observations that could not be captured by the classical Hill model. Yang et al. (2003a) presented an integrated electrochemical and mechanochemical model of the smooth muscle cell. The mechanochemical component, in particular, couples the model of Hai & Murphy (1988) with a mechanical model based on that of Hill. The mechanical model is similar to that of Gestrelius & Borgström (1986) but is extended to incorporate viscoelasticity.

A different approach to modelling the vascular smooth muscle tone was proposed by Zulliger et al. (2004b). Their phenomenological model is based on three-dimensional continuum mechanics and uses a pseudo-strain-energy function that incorporates parameters describing the mechanical properties. The pseudo-strain energy is decomposed into the sum of contributions from collagen, elastin and vascular smooth muscle. The model captures the interaction between mechanical stretch and myogenic contraction and was used in particular to illustrate localized reduction of the circumferential stress in an arterial wall due to vascular smooth muscle tone. This follows the earlier work of Rachev & Hayashi (1999) in which a simpler phenomenological model was developed based on an elastic strain-energy function and a proposed model for the active circumferential stress. In particular, the results of this work show that incorporation of the basal muscular tone into the model reduces the computed stress gradients in the wall beyond the reduction due to residual stress, which is consistent with experimental findings documented by Matsumoto & Hayashi (1996). The active response is assumed to be controlled by the concentration of free intracellular calcium (Ca$^{2+}$) together with the muscle fibre stretch, $\bar{\lambda}$ say, relative to a reference sarcomere length. The active stress $\sigma_a$ may therefore be given in the form

$$\sigma_a = A(Ca^{2+})\bar{\lambda}\left[1 - \left(\frac{\lambda_m - \bar{\lambda}}{\lambda_m - \lambda_0}\right)^2\right]m \otimes m,$$

(5.1)

where $m$ is a unit vector in the direction of the deformed muscle fibre, $A$ is an activation function, $\lambda_m$ and $\lambda_0$ are stretches at which the active force generated is a maximum and a minimum, respectively. In Rachev & Hayashi (1999) the passive state was described by $A = 0$, the basal state by $A \approx 50$ kPa and the fully activated state by $A \approx 100$ kPa. The same activation was used in the study by Baek et al. (2007a) in conjunction with a constitutive law of the type (2.29). They developed a theory of small deformations superimposed on a large deformation in the context of fluid–solid interactions, and they showed that the theory predicts that the stiffness of the wall decreases with increasing vasoconstriction.

The more recent paper by Stålhand et al. (2008) uses an explicit connection between the calcium ion level and the active stress–stretch response in a one-dimensional model. The thermodynamically consistent model uses the chemical state law of Hai & Murphy (1988) and a model for smooth muscle contraction that reduces to the model of Yang et al. (2003a,b) in the linear limit of small deformations. It appears that the approach of Stålhand et al. (2008) is the first to use a strain-energy function involving the chemical kinetics of smooth muscle.
Figure 15. Stress versus stretch as a function of the calcium ion concentration given in micromoles per litre (μM). The stress is normalized with respect to the minimum stress in the maximally activated state, denoted by $T_0$. Data are taken from Hunter et al. (1998); solid curves represent results from the model. Reprinted from Stålhand et al. (2008) with permission from Elsevier. Red curve and red plus symbol, $[\text{Ca}^{2+}] = 1.9$ μM; green curve and green cross symbol, $[\text{Ca}^{2+}] = 2.7$ μM; blue curve and blue open circle, $[\text{Ca}^{2+}] = 4.3$ μM; pink curve and pink square, $[\text{Ca}^{2+}] = 8.9$ μM; black curve and black open diamond, $[\text{Ca}^{2+}] > 10$ μM.

contraction and nonlinear kinematics. This approach can easily be extended to other constitutive models, including three-dimensional models, and facilitates implementation in finite element codes. Figure 15 shows the dependence of the active stress ratio $T/T_0$ on the stretch $\lambda$ in terms of the calcium ion concentration $\text{Ca}^{2+}$, where $T_0$ is the minimum stress in the maximally activated state. The experimental data are taken from Hunter et al. (1998). For maximally activated muscles, the stress is a linear function of the stretch, unlike the situation for lower activation levels.

A thermodynamically consistent mechanochemical model for the prediction of force generation in smooth muscle was proposed recently by Murtada et al. (in press). It is a simple model incorporating only a few material parameters, each of which has a clear physical meaning. In this model the strain-energy function is expressed in the form

$$
\Psi = \Psi_p + \Psi_a,
$$

where $\Psi_p$ describes the passive elastic response and $\Psi_a$ describes the active response, which is the energy stored in the network of contractile units. Specifically, $\Psi_p$ was taken to be the neo-Hookean model but could be replaced by a more general elastic model such as that in equation (2.29), while $\Psi_a$ was used in the form

$$
\Psi_a = \frac{\mu_a}{2} (n_C + n_D) (\lambda + \tilde{u}_{rs} - 1)^2,
$$
where $\mu_a$ is a parameter related to activation, $n_C$ and $n_D$ are fractional concentrations of the force-generating states C and D, which correspond to myosin heads attached or unattached, respectively, to the actin filaments (see the model of Hai & Murphy 1988), $\lambda_l$ is the stretch of the contractile unit and $\ddot{u}_{rs}$ is the normalized relative sliding displacement between the myosin and actin filaments. The internal state variable $\ddot{u}_{rs}$ is governed by an evolution equation, details of which can be found in Murtada et al. (in press). The results of the coupled mechanochemical model are consistent with isometric and isotonic experiments on smooth muscle tissue. For example, figure 16 shows the relationship between the active stress ($P_f/P_{\text{max}}$) as a percentage of the maximum active stress versus the external calcium concentration $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]$ based on the model and data from the paper by Arner (1982), which involved activation of intact smooth muscle tissues with different external calcium concentrations. The correlation with the data is excellent.

The aforementioned model was extended by Murtada et al. (submitted) to account for the dispersion in the orientation of contractile fibres in smooth muscles so as to capture available experimental data. Therein it is shown that the orientation of smooth muscle myofilaments has a strong influence on the contraction response.

6. Conclusions and future directions

It is well known that arteries are subject to finite deformations and that their mechanical behaviour is highly nonlinear, anisotropic and essentially incompressible in the physiological domain.

It is also the case that the mechanical response can be regarded as purely elastic in many circumstances and that the constitutive properties of artery wall tissue can be characterized in terms of strain-energy functions. There is now a well-established theoretical framework for treating the elastic behaviour of arteries on the basis of deformation invariants that reflect aspects of the structure of arteries, in particular the directions distinguished by the collagen fibre structure, in which sense the framework can be regarded as structural, or...
at least structurally motivated. The present review article has focused on the
development of constitutive laws constructed within this framework and it is
therefore limited by the geometrical assumptions embodied by the framework
and hence modest in its objectives.

Nevertheless, it provides an overview, covering essentially the last 10 years, of
the state of the art in the constitutive modelling of the passive response of arteries
together with a brief account of the much less well-developed modelling of the
active response. The structure of soft tissues is, of course, far more complex than
is suggested by the relatively simple model based on collagen fibres embedded in
an isotropic matrix of ground substance, and much remains to be done in terms
of modelling.

The increasing effort devoted to studies of mechanical models for the
cardiovascular system and their applications aimed at refining basic and clinical
analyses demonstrates the vitality of the field of biomechanics. Some future
directions on which research on arteries might focus are discussed below.

In this regard we have the excellent review by Humphrey (2003) to guide
our hand. This covers many aspects of the biomechanics of soft tissues,
including artery wall tissue, and identifies open problems that call for a multi-
disciplinary approach involving applied mechanics, biomechanics, mathematics
and mechanobiology. The needs he identifies are embraced by the sentence
‘Because of the inherent complexities of the microstructure and biomechanical
behaviour of biological cells and tissues, there is a need for new theoretical
frameworks to guide the design and interpretation of new classes of experiments’.

Whilst Humphrey’s review covers a wide range of soft tissues, that of
Humphrey & Taylor (2008) is more specific in that it compares and contrasts
the biology and mechanics of intracranial and abdominal aortic aneurysms. They
emphasize the need to couple more effectively the wall biosolid mechanics with
the biofluid dynamics of blood flow, vascular biology and medical imaging in
order to improve understanding of the mechanobiology and pathophysiology
of aneurysms and their treatment. The very recent review by Taylor &
Humphrey (2009) has a more general focus on the role of computational
approaches within vascular biomechanics and also emphasizes the need for multi-
disciplinary research towards improving understanding of vascular physiology
and pathology along with improving the design of medical devices and
clinical interventions. Throughout these reviews the important roles and further
potential of nonlinear continuum biomechanics and computational mechanics
are emphasized.

The study of the biomechanics of artery walls and other soft tissues is really in
its infancy and there is much more research needed in order to gain understanding
of the interactions between the constituents within the complex structure and
their influence on the mechanical and mechanobiological behaviour in both
healthy and diseased tissue. Here we highlight a few key issues that deserve to
attract much research effort over the next few years. Our discussion is confined
to artery wall mechanics, although many issues can be considered more broadly
applicable to soft tissues.

First, at the macroscopic level, the development of constitutive laws that
model the (three dimensional) active response of arteries is very important
since contraction of the smooth muscle cells within the wall can change
significantly the artery cross section and thereby regulate blood flow. It is

therefore essential to couple the mechanics with the biochemistry by focusing on the structure of the contractile units, but it is also important to incorporate in the constitutive law only a few material parameters, each of which has a clear physical meaning. The consistency of the model then needs to be demonstrated by comparison with experimental data obtained from activating smooth muscle cells.

In order to better understand the changes of the artery cross section due to smooth muscle activation there is also a need to consider in more detail the coupling of arterial wall mechanics and haemodynamics, i.e. fluid–solid interactions. This inevitably requires a computational mechanics approach, as argued so eloquently by Taylor & Humphrey (2009). In this respect computational methods such as finite element methods have a key role to play in order to provide more realistic simulations of clinical interventions and patient-specific geometric modelling, which should also take account of the influence of the surrounding (perivascular) tissue with appropriate boundary conditions. This requires more information about the evolution of in vivo material properties and a better understanding of the in vivo conditions. Computational biomechanics, now a well-defined field in its own right, is increasing our ability to address multi-disciplinary problems of academic, industrial and clinical importance. In particular, it is bridging the gap between the different length scales and between basic research and clinical application, thereby aiding the transfer of biomechanics from the laboratory bench to the bedside. Computational models, when based on appropriate three-dimensional constitutive laws, have the potential to realistically predict physiological functional interactions, to better repair injuries, to improve diagnostics, treatment of disease, surgical planning and intervention, and to improve the success of implanted prostheses, whether manufactured or tissue engineered. Computational methods will increasingly assist the development of refined models by, for example, incorporating spatial variations of tissue properties. As with any simulation, computational biomechanical models need to prove both their accuracy and their worth, i.e. numerical results need to correlate well with experimental data at the tissue, cellular and molecular levels. For a review of the application of computational mechanics to the diagnosis and treatment of cardiovascular disease, see del Álamo et al. (2009). A more specific review of computational modelling involving fluid–structure interactions in vascular anastomoses is provided by Migliavacca & Dubini (2005).

More refinements in the modelling are needed at the structural level, taking us beyond the influence of collagen fibre orientation to include the separate properties and contributions of other key constituents, such as elastin and smooth muscle, and their mutual interactions, to build more comprehensive mechanical models. Much more data are needed to inform the modelling, in particular stress–strain data from multi-axial tests. Ultimately, the behaviour of tissues derives from their constituents at the mesoscopic level, which in turn derive from the aggregate of the molecules that form them. Thus, knowledge of the biomechanical properties of individual molecules will be an important ingredient in building multi-scale models from the microscopic to the mesoscopic to the macroscopic level. For example, drug therapy or biocompatible body part replacement depends on knowing how proteins and cells behave in context and in their interaction with surrounding tissues so as to generate function at a
higher level. Hence multi-scale approaches provide ongoing challenges in vascular biomechanics, where simulation and experiment must go hand-in-hand to achieve this integrative knowledge.

The above considerations apply in the first instance to healthy tissue, and provide a starting point for understanding the biomechanics and mechanobiology of tissues in general, both healthy and diseased. Biomechanics and mechanobiology have particularly important contributions to make to the understanding of changes in the vascular system associated with ageing and disease. Associated with ageing there is, in particular, a degradation of elastin and consequent loss of arterial elasticity, an increase in collagen density and hence stiffness of the artery (decreased distensibility), and reduction in smooth muscle contractility. Elastin degradation that links to, for example, deviations of wall shear stresses from normotensive levels, remodelling of collagen that maintains an equilibrium level of strain, and reduction of smooth muscle cell contraction is also a feature in the development of aneurysms. There is therefore a need to better understand these changes by using suitable constitutive models, patient-specific arterial geometries and a link of the chemomechanics of arterial growth and remodelling to mechanical stimuli resulting from circulatory pressure and flow. Research in this direction will provide the basis for further elucidating the aetiology of aneurysmal disease. In hypertension arteries increase (or decrease) their wall thickness in response to sustained increases (or decreases) in blood pressure, and there is also an important effect associated with changes in blood flow-induced wall shear stress—the lumen diameter increases (decreases) with sustained increases (decreases) in wall shear stress. In addition, shear stresses affect plaque composition and induce atherogenesis, and low and oscillatory shear stresses induce atherosclerotic plaques. This once again brings to the fore the need to better understand the coupling of the wall solid mechanics with the blood fluid dynamics.

Other (pathological) changes in the artery wall are associated with atherosclerosis, commonly referred to as ‘hardening of the arteries’, which is a widespread vascular disease caused by thickening of the intima due to deposition of, for example, fatty substances, collagen fibres and cellular waste products (collectively called plaque). These pathological changes are associated with significant alterations in the material properties of the arterial wall. In order to access the heterogeneous morphologies of such plaques specific imaging modalities are required. The combination of image analysis, biomechanics and mechanobiology provides then an efficient basis for a thorough patient-specific study of the relationship between morphological, structural and (in)elastic constitutive data under various loading conditions. There is some evidence that such computational approaches, when based on in vivo imaging, can provide reliable answers to clinical questions raised by, for example, cardiologists and will play a larger role in cardiovascular research and medicine in the near future.

A general feature of all these changes is that the material properties and geometry evolve in time, and to understand these diseases in more detail it is important to be able to model this evolving histology and mechanobiology, which involves growth, remodelling and adaptation. The modelling of growth and remodelling is receiving much attention in the literature, but it is fair to say that the theory is as yet not well established and the underlying mechanisms are not well understood, so there is much to be learned about the processes
involved, such as the changing material properties and the turnover, production and removal of constituents. Changes that occur in adaptation to changes in the mechanical environment associated with damage and repair will also require similar modelling approaches.

In addition, there is a need to understand the influence of growth and remodelling on the development of residual stresses and to incorporate residual stresses within the constitutive modelling framework. In particular, it is emphasized that quantitative data on residual stresses are difficult to obtain so that new methods are required for gathering such data, which are needed to inform the modelling process.

In fact, it is not generally clear what the origin of residual stresses is, and this therefore requires further investigation. One suggestion of a possible factor is contained in the recent study by Azeloglu et al. (2008), in which it is hypothesized that the transmural distribution of the fixed charged density of the proteoglycans has a significant role in regulating residual stresses.

This hypothesis was tested theoretically and implemented in a finite element model and it was confirmed that the hypothetical mechanism yielded opening angles in the range reported in the literature.

Based on a constrained mixture model the influence of elastin, collagen and smooth muscle cell contributions to residual stresses of artery walls has been analysed in a recent theoretical paper by Cardamone et al. (2009), while an initial analysis of three-dimensional residual stresses in a layered artery was recently documented by Holzapfel & Ogden (2010).

These recent contributions form important steps towards an integrated understanding of the biomechanics and mechanobiology of residual stresses.
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